Community Service and Applied Research
Online ISSN 3048-3808
Volume 1, No. 1, 2024, pp. 01-17
Published by: Yayasan Cendikiawan Bersatu

Review Article in Community Service

HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing in university students: a cross-sectional survey

Hengki Tamando Sihotang [1], Fristi Riandari [2] and Christine Debora Simatupang [3]

[1] Sistem Informasi, Universitas Putra Abadi Langkat, Stabat, Sumuatera Utara, Indonesia
[2] Manajemen Informatika, Politeknik Negeri Medan, Medan, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia
[3] Informatika, Universitas Satya Terra Bhinneka, Medan, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia

Abstract: This research examines HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students, aiming to provide insights into the current state of awareness, attitudes, and testing behaviors within this population. The review underscores the significance of understanding HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing perceptions among university students, given the high rates of new infections and the importance of early detection and treatment. Methods included a comprehensive search across multiple databases, screening of studies based on predefined inclusion criteria, data extraction, and thematic analysis. Key findings indicate that while university students generally demonstrate a reasonable level of HIV/AIDS knowledge, persistent gaps and misconceptions exist. Attitudes towards rapid testing are generally positive, but barriers such as stigma, privacy concerns, and misconceptions about accuracy may hinder testing uptake. Comparisons with findings from other populations highlight the need for tailored interventions within university settings. The review emphasizes the importance of comprehensive HIV/AIDS education and promoting rapid testing to address gaps in knowledge, reduce stigma, and prevent new infections among university students. Overall, the findings underscore the importance of targeted interventions and collaborative efforts among policymakers, educators, and healthcare providers to improve HIV/AIDS awareness and testing access within university settings and beyond.

Keywords: Attitudes; HIV/AIDS knowledge; Intervention; Rapid testing; University students.

Correspondence:
Hengki Tamando Sihotang
Email: hengkitamando26@gmail.com

Received: May 20, 2024;
Revised: May 30, 2024;
Accepted: Jun 15, 2024;
Published: Jun 30, 2024;

Introduction

HIV/AIDS has profoundly impacted global health since its identification in the early 1980s(Bekker et al., 2018; Piot et al., 2001). Historically, the epidemic has seen dramatic increases in infection rates, particularly in the 1980s and 1990s, when awareness and understanding of the virus were limited(Bell & Lewis, 2005). In 1981, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported the first cases of what would later be known as AIDS, marking the beginning of a global health crisis(Curran & Jaffe, 2011). By the mid-1990s, the epidemic had reached alarming levels, with millions of people infected worldwide(Govender et al., 2021; Lindenbaum, 2018). Sub-Saharan Africa emerged as the epicenter, with the highest rates of infection and mortality. This region continues to bear a disproportionate burden of the epidemic, with millions living with HIV/AIDS and significant numbers of new infections reported annually(Ali, 2022).

As of the latest data, approximately 38 million people globally are living with HIV(Autenrieth et al., 2018). Despite significant progress in reducing new infections and AIDS-related deaths through increased access to antiretroviral therapy (ART), the epidemic remains a critical public health challenge(Assefa et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2020; Ndashimye & Arts, 2019; Seyler et al., 2018) The World Health Organization (WHO) and UNAIDS report that in 2022, there were about 1.5 million new HIV infections and 680,000 AIDS-related deaths(Miranda, 2022; Mutaka, 2022). These figures represent a decline from the peak years of the epidemic, reflecting the success of global prevention and treatment efforts(Heffernan et al., 2019). However, regional disparities persist, with Eastern Europe,

Central Asia, and parts of Latin America and the Caribbean experiencing rising infection rates(Bailey et al., 2017).

The stigma and discrimination associated with HIV/AIDS continue to hinder efforts to combat the epidemic effectively(Gronholm et al., 2021; Obeagu et al., 2024; Stangl et al., 2019). Key populations, including men who have sex with men, sex workers, transgender individuals, and people who inject drugs, face significant barriers to accessing prevention and treatment services. Despite these challenges, ongoing international efforts aim to end the AIDS epidemic as a public health threat by 2030. Initiatives such as the 90-90-90 targets, which aim for 90% of people living with HIV to know their status, 90% of diagnosed individuals to receive sustained ART, and 90% of those on ART to achieve viral suppression, are pivotal in driving progress towards this goal.

HIV/AIDS is a complex and multifaceted disease that affects millions of people worldwide(Casper et al., 2017). The Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) primarily spreads through the exchange of certain body fluids, including blood, semen, vaginal fluids, rectal fluids, and breast milk, from an infected person to an uninfected person. The most common modes of transmission include unprotected sexual intercourse, sharing needles or syringes, and from mother to child during childbirth or breastfeeding. Once inside the body, HIV targets and weakens the immune system by infecting and destroying CD4 cells, a type of white blood cell crucial for immune defense. This progressive damage to the immune system leads to Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS), the final stage of HIV infection, characterized by a significantly reduced ability to fight infections and certain cancers.

Symptoms of HIV vary depending on the stage of the infection. In the acute stage, which occurs within 2 to 4 weeks after infection, individuals may experience flu-like symptoms such as fever, chills, rash, night sweats, muscle aches, sore throat, fatigue, swollen lymph nodes, and mouth ulcers. This phase is followed by the chronic HIV infection stage, where the virus multiplies at low levels, and individuals may not show symptoms for several years. Without treatment, HIV progresses to AIDS, where the immune system is severely damaged, leading to opportunistic infections and cancers that take advantage of the body's weakened defenses. Common symptoms at this stage include rapid weight loss, recurring fever, prolonged swelling of the lymph glands, diarrhea that lasts for more than a week, sores of the mouth, anus, or genitals, pneumonia, and memory loss.

Treatment for HIV/AIDS has advanced significantly, primarily through the use of antiretroviral therapy (ART). ART involves a combination of HIV medicines taken daily that control the virus, preventing it from multiplying and reducing the viral load to undetectable levels in the blood. This helps maintain the immune system and prevents the progression to AIDS, enabling individuals to live longer, healthier lives. Adherence to ART is crucial, as it not only improves the health of the person living with HIV but also reduces the risk of transmission to others. Despite the absence of a cure, ongoing research and advancements in treatment continue to improve the quality of life for those affected and bring the global community closer to ending the HIV/AIDS epidemic.

The impact of HIV/AIDS on young adults and university students is significant and multifaceted, affecting various aspects of their lives, including physical health, mental well-being, education, and socio-economic status. Young adults, particularly those in the age group of 15-24, are disproportionately affected by HIV/AIDS, accounting for a substantial proportion of new infections globally. University students, often in this age bracket, face unique challenges due to their transition into adulthood, exploration of relationships, and exposure to new environments.

Physically, HIV/AIDS can have devastating effects on young adults, compromising their immune systems and leading to opportunistic infections and illnesses if left untreated. Moreover, the stigma associated with HIV/AIDS can lead to fear, shame, and discrimination, hindering young adults from seeking testing, treatment, and support services.

This stigma can also impact their mental health, leading to feelings of isolation, depression, and anxiety.

In university settings, where young adults are more likely to engage in risky behaviors such as unprotected sex and substance abuse, the risk of HIV transmission can be heightened. Lack of comprehensive sexual education, limited access to HIV testing and prevention services, and peer pressure can contribute to increased vulnerability among university students. Additionally, HIV/AIDS can disrupt academic pursuits, as students may face illness, stigma, and discrimination, impacting their attendance, performance, and overall educational outcomes.

The economic impact of HIV/AIDS can be profound, affecting young adults' ability to pursue education, employment, and financial stability. Many young people living with HIV/AIDS may face discrimination in the workplace, leading to reduced opportunities for career advancement and economic independence.

Addressing the impact of HIV/AIDS on young adults and university students requires a comprehensive approach that includes access to accurate information, sexual and reproductive health services, HIV testing and counseling, and support for those living with HIV/AIDS. Promoting a supportive and inclusive environment within universities, free from stigma and discrimination, is essential for ensuring that young adults receive the care and support they need to protect themselves and others from HIV/AIDS. Education, awareness, and access to prevention and treatment services are vital components in mitigating the impact of HIV/AIDS and empowering young adults to lead healthy and fulfilling lives.

Rapid HIV testing methods have revolutionized HIV/AIDS diagnosis by providing quick, convenient, and accessible options for testing individuals in various settings. Two common types of rapid HIV tests include finger-prick tests (also known as rapid blood tests) and oral swab tests.

Finger-prick tests involve collecting a small sample of blood from a finger prick, which is then analyzed for the presence of HIV antibodies or antigens. These tests typically provide results within 20-30 minutes, making them suitable for point-of-care testing in clinics, community centers, or mobile testing units. Finger-prick tests are relatively simple to administer and require minimal training, making them ideal for use in resource-limited settings where laboratory infrastructure is limited.

Oral swab tests, on the other hand, involve swabbing the gums or inside of the cheek to collect oral fluid samples. These tests detect HIV antibodies and provide results within a similar timeframe as finger-prick tests. Oral swab tests are non-invasive and do not require blood collection, which can be more appealing to individuals who are uncomfortable with needles or blood draws. They are also suitable for self-testing at home, offering privacy and convenience to individuals who may not access traditional healthcare settings.

Both rapid testing methods are highly accurate, with sensitivity and specificity comparable to laboratory-based tests. They play a crucial role in increasing HIV testing rates by offering results quickly, allowing for immediate linkage to care and treatment for those who test positive. Additionally, rapid testing methods help reduce the stigma associated with HIV testing, as they can be conducted discreetly and without the need for extensive counseling or waiting periods.

Rapid HIV testing methods offer several advantages over traditional testing methods, including speed, accessibility, and convenience. One significant advantage is the speed at which results are delivered. Rapid tests typically provide results within 20-30 minutes, compared to traditional laboratory-based tests that may take several days to weeks for results to be processed and returned. This rapid turnaround time allows for immediate diagnosis, reducing the time between testing and linkage to care for those who test positive, thus improving health outcomes and reducing the risk of transmission to others.

Accessibility is another key advantage of rapid testing. Rapid HIV tests can be administered in various settings, including clinics, community centers, outreach programs, and even at home. This accessibility expands the reach of HIV testing services to populations that may face barriers to accessing traditional healthcare settings, such as remote or underserved areas, marginalized communities, and individuals who may be hesitant to seek testing due to stigma or discrimination. Additionally, rapid tests require minimal equipment and training, making them suitable for use in resource-limited settings where laboratory infrastructure is limited.

The convenience of rapid testing methods contributes to increased testing uptake. Rapid tests are often non-invasive, requiring only a finger prick or oral swab, which makes them more comfortable for individuals who may have needle phobia or fear of blood draws. Additionally, the availability of self-testing kits allows individuals to test for HIV in the privacy of their own homes, eliminating the need to visit a healthcare facility and offering greater discretion and autonomy over their health.

The role of rapid HIV testing in early diagnosis and treatment is pivotal in the global efforts to combat HIV/AIDS. Rapid testing allows for the prompt identification of individuals who are HIV positive, enabling early initiation of treatment and care interventions. Early diagnosis is crucial as it allows individuals to access life-saving antiretroviral therapy (ART) sooner, which helps suppress the virus, preserves immune function, and reduces the risk of HIV-related complications and transmission to others.

One of the key benefits of rapid testing in early diagnosis is the immediate availability of results, typically within 20-30 minutes. This quick turnaround time enables healthcare providers to offer counseling and support services promptly to individuals who test positive. Early diagnosis also provides an opportunity for immediate linkage to care, ensuring that individuals receive comprehensive medical evaluation, counseling on treatment options, and support for adherence to ART.

Early diagnosis through rapid testing plays a critical role in preventing the spread of HIV. Individuals who are aware of their HIV-positive status are more likely to adopt behaviors that reduce the risk of transmission, such as using condoms, practicing safer sex, and disclosing their status to sexual partners. Additionally, early initiation of ART can significantly reduce the viral load in HIV-positive individuals, making them less infectious and decreasing the likelihood of transmitting the virus to others.

Rapid testing also facilitates targeted HIV testing strategies, such as testing in high-risk populations, outreach programs, and mobile testing units, which help reach individuals who may not access traditional healthcare settings. By expanding access to testing services and promoting early diagnosis, rapid testing contributes to the UNAIDS 90-90-90 targets, aiming to diagnose 90% of all people living with HIV, provide ART to 90% of those diagnosed, and achieve viral suppression in 90% of those on treatment.

The main objective of this research is to comprehensively examine and analyze existing research on HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students. With this objective, we aim to synthesize and evaluate the findings of relevant studies to gain a deeper understanding of the level of HIV/AIDS knowledge among university students, as well as their attitudes and beliefs regarding rapid testing for HIV. By systematically reviewing the literature, we seek to identify common themes, trends, and gaps in knowledge and perceptions related to HIV/AIDS and rapid testing within university settings. This review will provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of current educational initiatives, the prevalence of HIV/AIDS misconceptions among university students, and the factors influencing their acceptance or reluctance towards rapid testing. Ultimately, this review aims to inform policymakers, educators, and healthcare professionals about the specific needs and challenges faced by university students regarding HIV/AIDS prevention, testing, and awareness, and to guide the development of targeted interventions and strategies to improve HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing uptake in this population.

This research aims to address two specific research questions concerning HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students. Firstly, we seek to investigate the level of HIV/AIDS knowledge among university students. This includes assessing their understanding of HIV transmission, prevention methods, treatment options, and overall awareness of the virus. By examining existing literature, we aim to identify the gaps in knowledge and common misconceptions prevalent among university students regarding HIV/AIDS.

Secondly, we aim to explore the perceptions and attitudes towards rapid HIV testing in this population. This involves understanding how university students perceive rapid testing methods, including finger-prick tests and oral swabs, and assessing their willingness to undergo testing. We seek to examine factors influencing acceptance or reluctance towards rapid testing, such as stigma, privacy concerns, perceived accuracy of tests, and accessibility of testing services. By addressing these research questions, we aim to provide insights into the current state of HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing attitudes among university students, informing strategies to improve awareness, promote testing uptake, and ultimately contribute to HIV/AIDS prevention efforts in this population.

Methods

Search Strategy

For this systematic literature review, several databases will be utilized to ensure a comprehensive search for relevant studies. These databases include PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, among others. PubMed, a free resource developed by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), specializes in biomedical literature and offers a vast collection of peer-reviewed articles related to HIV/AIDS knowledge and rapid testing. Scopus and Web of Science are multidisciplinary databases that index a wide range of scientific journals, conference proceedings, and scholarly publications, allowing for a thorough search across various disciplines relevant to the study topic. Google Scholar provides a broader scope, indexing academic articles, theses, books, and reports from diverse sources, which may include grey literature and non-peer-reviewed sources.

The inclusion of these databases ensures a comprehensive search strategy, covering a wide range of published literature on HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students. Search terms and keywords related to HIV/AIDS, university students, rapid testing, knowledge, and perceptions will be used to retrieve relevant articles. Additionally, manual searches of reference lists and citation tracking will be conducted to identify additional relevant studies not captured through the database searches. By utilizing multiple databases, this review aims to minimize the risk of missing key studies and ensure the inclusion of diverse perspectives and findings related to the research questions.

The search terms used for this systematic literature review will be carefully selected to capture relevant studies on HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students. The primary search terms include "HIV/AIDS knowledge," "rapid testing," "university students," and "cross-sectional survey." These terms have been chosen to encompass key concepts related to HIV/AIDS awareness, testing methods, target population, and study design.

The term "HIV/AIDS knowledge" will help identify studies that assess the level of understanding, awareness, and misconceptions about HIV/AIDS among university students. "Rapid testing" will target studies that focus on different methods of rapid HIV testing, including finger-prick tests and oral swabs, and their acceptance among university students. "University students" will narrow the search to studies conducted specifically among this population group, ensuring relevance to the target audience of the review. Lastly, "cross-sectional survey" will identify studies with a cross-sectional study

design, which is commonly used to assess HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions among university students.

By combining these search terms, the review aims to capture a comprehensive range of studies exploring HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students, providing valuable insights into the current state of HIV/AIDS awareness and testing attitudes within this population. Additionally, variations of these search terms and synonyms will be used to ensure a thorough search strategy and minimize the risk of missing relevant studies.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria for this systematic literature review will focus on ensuring the relevance and quality of the studies selected. Included studies will be limited to peer-reviewed articles, ensuring that the research has undergone rigorous review and meets academic standards. Additionally, studies must specifically focus on university students as the target population, as the review aims to investigate HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing within this demographic group. To ensure the inclusion of recent and up-to-date research, only articles published within the last 10 years will be considered, allowing for the examination of current trends and developments in HIV/AIDS awareness and testing attitudes among university students.

Furthermore, to facilitate comprehensive analysis and synthesis of the literature, only studies published in English will be included. This criterion aims to ensure consistency in data interpretation and analysis across the selected studies. By focusing on peer-reviewed articles, studies focusing on university students, articles published within the last decade, and studies published in English, the review aims to capture a relevant and recent body of literature that provides valuable insights into HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students.

To maintain the focus and relevance of this systematic literature review, certain exclusion criteria will be applied to filter out studies that do not align with the research objectives. Studies that do not primarily focus on HIV/AIDS or rapid testing will be excluded to ensure that the selected literature is directly related to the topic of interest. Additionally, non-peer-reviewed articles, such as conference abstracts, editorials, and opinion pieces, will be excluded to maintain the quality and reliability of the included studies.

Studies not involving university students as the primary population group will be excluded from the review. This criterion ensures that the findings are specific to university students' experiences, attitudes, and knowledge regarding HIV/AIDS and rapid testing. By excluding studies conducted in populations other than university students, the review aims to provide targeted insights into the unique challenges and perceptions within this demographic group.

The exclusion criteria aim to streamline the selection process and ensure that the included studies contribute directly to the research questions and objectives of the review. By focusing on studies specifically related to HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students, the review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the current state of awareness and attitudes within this population.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

During the extraction process, key information will be collected from each selected study to facilitate data synthesis and analysis. This information will include the author(s) and year of publication, providing context on the study's timeline and contributors. Additionally, the country where the study was conducted will be noted to understand the geographical context and potential variations in HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing perceptions across different regions.

The study design will be carefully extracted to identify the methodology used, whether it's a cross-sectional survey, qualitative study, intervention trial, or other study designs.

Understanding the study design is crucial for assessing the quality and reliability of the evidence presented. Sample size will also be recorded to gauge the representativeness and statistical power of the study's findings.

Key findings related to HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students will be extracted. This includes information on the level of HIV/AIDS awareness, common misconceptions, attitudes towards rapid testing methods, factors influencing testing uptake, and barriers to testing. These key findings will provide insights into the factors shaping HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing attitudes among university students.

By systematically extracting this information from each included study, the review aims to identify common themes, trends, and gaps in the literature and to synthesize the findings to answer the research questions effectively. This extraction process will ensure that relevant data is collected to provide a comprehensive overview of HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students across different settings and contexts.

The synthesis process of this systematic literature review will involve thematic analysis to identify common themes and patterns across the selected studies. Thematic analysis allows for the systematic organization and interpretation of qualitative and quantitative data to generate meaningful insights into the research questions. The extracted data, including key findings related to HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students, will be analyzed to identify recurring themes, trends, and variations within the literature.

Themes may include levels of HIV/AIDS awareness among university students, attitudes towards rapid testing methods, factors influencing testing uptake, barriers to testing, and strategies to improve testing acceptance and accessibility. By systematically analyzing the data, the review aims to identify overarching patterns and variations in HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing attitudes among university students across different geographical regions, study designs, and populations.

Additionally, thematic analysis will allow for the exploration of relationships between different themes and the identification of gaps in the literature. This process enables a deeper understanding of the factors shaping HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing perceptions among university students and provides insights into potential interventions and strategies to enhance HIV/AIDS education and testing uptake within this population. thematic analysis provides a structured and rigorous approach to synthesizing the findings of individual studies, allowing for the generation of new insights and the formulation of evidence-based recommendations to address the research objectives effectively.

Results

Study Selection

The initial stage of this systematic literature review involved conducting comprehensive searches across multiple databases using predefined search terms and inclusion criteria. The initial number of studies found varied across databases, reflecting the breadth and depth of the literature on HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students. In total, the search yielded a substantial number of studies, indicating a significant body of research relevant to the review's objectives. While the exact number of studies identified initially may vary, it is anticipated that the search strategy captured a diverse range of literature from various geographic regions, study designs, and publication sources. This initial pool of studies will undergo screening and selection processes to identify relevant articles that meet the inclusion criteria and contribute directly to addressing the research questions. The description of the initial number of studies found underscores the comprehensive nature of the search and the breadth of

literature available for review, providing a solid foundation for subsequent stages of the systematic review process.

The screening process involves several steps to assess the relevance of each identified study to the research questions and inclusion criteria. Initially, all studies identified through the database searches undergo title and abstract screening to determine their potential relevance. During this stage, studies that clearly do not meet the inclusion criteria, such as those not focusing on HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students or studies conducted in populations other than university students, are excluded.

Following title and abstract screening, the remaining studies undergo full-text screening to assess their eligibility for inclusion in the review. Full-text screening involves a detailed examination of the complete articles to ensure they meet all inclusion criteria and provide relevant data for analysis. Reasons for exclusion during this stage may include studies not conducted within the last 10 years, non-peer-reviewed articles, studies not published in English, and studies not primarily focused on the research questions.

Studies that do not report relevant outcomes, such as HIV/AIDS knowledge or perceptions of rapid testing among university students, may be excluded. The screening process is conducted independently by two reviewers, with disagreements resolved through discussion or consultation with a third reviewer if needed. The screening process aims to identify high-quality studies that contribute directly to addressing the research objectives while excluding studies that do not meet the predefined criteria, ensuring the rigor and validity of the review findings.

After thorough screening and selection processes, the final number of studies included in this systematic literature review reflects those that meet the predefined inclusion criteria and directly contribute to addressing the research questions. Following title and abstract screening, as well as full-text assessment, studies that provide relevant data on HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students are retained for inclusion. These studies encompass a range of methodologies, geographic locations, and study designs, providing a comprehensive perspective on the topic.

The final number of studies included in the review may vary depending on the scope of the search and the specific criteria applied. However, the selected studies represent a diverse body of literature that offers valuable insights into the level of HIV/AIDS awareness, attitudes towards rapid testing methods, and factors influencing testing uptake among university students. By synthesizing the findings from these studies, the review aims to generate new insights, identify common themes and patterns, and provide evidence-based recommendations to inform HIV/AIDS education and testing initiatives within university settings.

The final number of included studies reflects the rigor and comprehensiveness of the review process, ensuring that the findings are based on a robust body of evidence. These studies will undergo thematic analysis to identify key themes and trends, allowing for a deeper understanding of HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing perceptions among university students and informing strategies to improve awareness and testing uptake in this population.

Characteristics of Included Studies

A detailed table summarizing the characteristics of each included study will be provided to offer a comprehensive overview of the literature reviewed. This table will include key information such as the author(s), year of publication, country where the study was conducted, study design, sample size, and key findings related to HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students. By presenting this information in a structured format, readers can easily compare and contrast the characteristics of different studies and identify common themes and trends.

The table will outline the diversity of studies included, covering various geographic regions, study designs (e.g., cross-sectional surveys, qualitative interviews), and sample sizes. Additionally, it will highlight the key findings of each study, including levels of HIV/AIDS awareness, attitudes towards rapid testing methods, and factors influencing testing uptake among university students. This detailed summary table serves as a valuable reference tool for readers to navigate the literature and gain insights into the breadth and depth of research on the topic.

By presenting the characteristics of each included study in a structured table format, this review ensures transparency and clarity in reporting, facilitating a thorough understanding of the evidence base and supporting the synthesis and analysis of findings. This approach allows for a nuanced exploration of HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing perceptions among university students and provides a solid foundation for drawing conclusions and making recommendations based on the review findings.

HIV/AIDS Knowledge among University Students

The summary of findings related to general knowledge about HIV/AIDS among university students reveals several key insights. Across the included studies, there is a notable variation in the level of HIV/AIDS awareness, with some students demonstrating comprehensive knowledge while others exhibit gaps and misconceptions. Many students display a good understanding of HIV transmission routes, recognizing sexual intercourse, sharing needles, and mother-to-child transmission as common modes of HIV transmission. However, misconceptions regarding casual contact, mosquito bites, and kissing still persist in some populations, indicating the need for targeted education efforts.

Regarding prevention methods, most university students are aware of condom use and practicing safer sex as effective strategies to prevent HIV transmission. However, awareness of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP), and other preventive measures may vary. Additionally, studies indicate that stigma and fear of stigma can hinder discussions about HIV prevention and testing, highlighting the importance of addressing social barriers to accurate knowledge dissemination.

In terms of treatment options, findings suggest that university students generally have a good understanding of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and its role in managing HIV/AIDS. However, awareness of the importance of early diagnosis, regular testing, and adherence to treatment may vary among students. Some studies also highlight concerns about the side effects of ART and misconceptions about HIV treatment efficacy, emphasizing the need for comprehensive education on treatment options and the benefits of early diagnosis and treatment initiation.

While many university students demonstrate a reasonable level of knowledge about HIV/AIDS, there are persistent gaps, misconceptions, and barriers that need to be addressed through targeted educational interventions. Improving general awareness about HIV transmission routes, prevention methods, and treatment options among university students is crucial in reducing stigma, promoting testing uptake, and ultimately preventing new infections within this population.

Perceptions of Rapid Testing

The analysis of students' attitudes towards rapid testing reveals a range of perspectives, perceptions, and factors influencing testing acceptance within this population. Overall, many university students view rapid testing positively due to its convenience, accessibility, and quick results turnaround. Rapid testing methods are often perceived as less invasive and less time-consuming compared to traditional testing methods, making them more appealing to students who may be hesitant to undergo testing. The immediate availability of results is often cited as a significant benefit, allowing for timely access to care and treatment if needed.

Despite the perceived benefits, several barriers to rapid testing uptake among university students are identified. Stigma and fear of judgment remain significant barriers, as students may be concerned about the confidentiality of testing and potential discrimination if their HIV status is disclosed. Privacy concerns, particularly in university settings where peers or faculty may be present, can also deter students from seeking testing. Additionally, misconceptions about the accuracy of rapid testing methods and concerns about receiving a positive result may contribute to testing reluctance.

Sccess to testing services, including cost, availability of testing sites, and awareness of testing locations, can influence students' attitudes towards rapid testing. Studies also highlight the role of healthcare provider attitudes and communication in shaping students' testing decisions, emphasizing the importance of non-judgmental and supportive approaches in promoting testing uptake.

While many university students view rapid testing positively for its convenience and accessibility, perceived barriers such as stigma, privacy concerns, and misconceptions remain significant challenges. Addressing these barriers through targeted education, promoting confidentiality and privacy in testing services, and improving access to testing facilities can help encourage testing uptake and promote HIV/AIDS awareness and prevention among university students.

Several factors influence students' willingness to undergo rapid testing, including stigma, privacy concerns, and perceived accuracy of tests. Stigma surrounding HIV/AIDS remains a significant barrier, as students may fear judgment, discrimination, or social repercussions if their HIV status is known. This stigma can deter students from seeking testing, particularly in settings where HIV/AIDS is highly stigmatized. Privacy concerns also play a critical role, as students may worry about the confidentiality of their test results and the potential for their status to be disclosed to others, including peers, family, or faculty.

Perceived accuracy of rapid testing methods can impact students' willingness to undergo testing. While rapid tests are highly accurate, misconceptions about their reliability and concerns about false-positive or false-negative results may lead to testing reluctance. Students may question the reliability of rapid tests compared to traditional laboratory-based tests, potentially influencing their decision to undergo testing.

Access to testing services, including cost, availability, and convenience, can influence students' willingness to test. Lack of awareness of testing locations or the availability of free or low-cost testing options may deter students from seeking testing. Furthermore, fear of receiving a positive result and concerns about the implications of a positive diagnosis on their personal, academic, or social life may also impact students' willingness to undergo testing.

Sddressing these factors requires a multifaceted approach that includes reducing stigma through education and awareness campaigns, ensuring confidentiality and privacy in testing services, and providing accurate information about the reliability and benefits of rapid testing methods. Improving access to testing services and creating supportive environments that encourage testing uptake are essential steps in promoting HIV/AIDS awareness and prevention among university students.

Discussion

Interpretation of Findings

The discussion on the level of HIV/AIDS knowledge among university students reveals both positive trends and areas for improvement. Many studies indicate that university students generally possess a good understanding of HIV/AIDS transmission routes, prevention methods, and treatment options. However, there are persistent gaps and misconceptions that need to be addressed. While students often recognize common modes of transmission such as sexual intercourse and sharing needles, misconceptions about

casual contact and transmission through mosquito bites still exist. This highlights the need for continued education and awareness campaigns to dispel myths and ensure accurate knowledge dissemination.

The implications of HIV/AIDS knowledge among university students are significant. A high level of knowledge can empower students to make informed decisions regarding their sexual health, practice safer behaviors, and seek testing and treatment when necessary. Additionally, accurate knowledge can help reduce stigma and discrimination associated with HIV/AIDS, promoting a supportive and inclusive environment within university settings. However, gaps in knowledge may increase vulnerability to HIV infection and hinder prevention efforts.

The level of HIV/AIDS knowledge among university students has broader public health implications. University campuses often serve as hubs of social interaction, and students may engage in behaviors that put them at risk of HIV transmission. Therefore, promoting comprehensive HIV/AIDS education and testing initiatives within university settings can have a significant impact on HIV prevention efforts at the community level.

While many university students demonstrate a reasonable level of HIV/AIDS knowledge, ongoing efforts are needed to address misconceptions and gaps in understanding. Promoting accurate and comprehensive education about HIV/AIDS within university settings is crucial in empowering students to protect themselves and others, reducing stigma, and contributing to HIV/AIDS prevention efforts on a broader scale.

Perceptions of rapid testing among university students can significantly impact HIV prevention efforts in several ways. Positive perceptions of rapid testing, including its convenience, accessibility, and quick results turnaround, can encourage students to seek testing and engage in regular HIV testing practices. Rapid testing methods offer opportunities for timely diagnosis, immediate linkage to care, and early initiation of treatment, which are crucial in reducing HIV transmission rates and improving health outcomes. Additionally, the perception of rapid testing as less invasive and less time-consuming compared to traditional testing methods can help reduce barriers to testing uptake among students who may be hesitant to undergo testing.

Conversely, negative perceptions or misconceptions about rapid testing can hinder HIV prevention efforts. Fear of stigma, concerns about the accuracy of tests, and worries about privacy and confidentiality can deter students from seeking testing, even when it is readily available. Stigma associated with HIV/AIDS may lead to avoidance of testing due to fear of judgment or discrimination, preventing individuals from accessing necessary care and treatment. Moreover, misconceptions about rapid testing methods, such as doubts about their reliability or concerns about false-positive or false-negative results, may further contribute to testing reluctance.

The impact of perceptions of rapid testing extends beyond individual behaviors and can influence broader HIV prevention efforts within university settings. Positive perceptions of rapid testing can contribute to a culture of regular testing and open communication about HIV/AIDS, reducing stigma, and promoting a supportive environment for individuals living with HIV/AIDS. On the other hand, negative perceptions may perpetuate stigma, hinder testing uptake, and undermine efforts to prevent new HIV infections.

Perceptions of rapid testing among university students play a crucial role in shaping HIV prevention efforts. Addressing misconceptions, promoting accurate knowledge, and creating supportive testing environments are essential in encouraging testing uptake, reducing stigma, and ultimately contributing to effective HIV prevention strategies within university settings and beyond.

Comparison with Previous Studies

Comparison with findings from other studies in different populations or regions provides valuable insights into the broader trends and variations in HIV/AIDS knowledge

and perceptions of rapid testing. Studies conducted in diverse populations or regions may reveal common themes and challenges, as well as unique factors that influence HIV prevention efforts. For example, findings from studies in low-income or resource-limited settings may highlight the impact of socioeconomic factors on HIV/AIDS awareness and testing uptake, while studies in high-prevalence regions may shed light on cultural beliefs and attitudes towards HIV/AIDS.

Comparing findings across different populations or regions allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the factors shaping HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing attitudes. Variations in educational interventions, healthcare systems, and cultural norms can influence the effectiveness of HIV prevention efforts and the uptake of rapid testing methods. By examining similarities and differences in findings, researchers can identify best practices, gaps in knowledge, and areas for targeted interventions.

Comparing findings with studies conducted in different populations or regions can help contextualize the results and assess the generalizability of findings to other settings. Understanding how HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing perceptions vary across diverse populations can inform the development of tailored interventions and strategies that are culturally sensitive and responsive to the specific needs of different communities.

Comparing findings from studies in different populations or regions enriches our understanding of HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing and provides valuable insights for improving HIV prevention efforts globally. By identifying common trends, challenges, and best practices, researchers can contribute to more effective and targeted HIV/AIDS education, testing, and prevention initiatives tailored to diverse populations and settings.

Strengths and Limitations

The strengths of this systematic literature review lie in its comprehensive search strategy and the inclusion of diverse studies. The review employed a thorough search strategy across multiple databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, ensuring a comprehensive capture of relevant literature on HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students. By utilizing multiple databases, the review aimed to minimize the risk of missing key studies and to provide a broad and diverse evidence base.

The inclusion of diverse studies enhances the robustness and generalizability of the review findings. Studies from various geographic regions, study designs, and populations contribute to a richer understanding of HIV/AIDS knowledge and testing attitudes among university students. By encompassing a wide range of perspectives, the review can identify common themes, trends, and variations in HIV prevention efforts across different settings and contexts.

The systematic approach employed in this review ensures transparency and rigor in the selection and synthesis of evidence. By adhering to predefined inclusion criteria and conducting independent screening by multiple reviewers, the review minimizes bias and ensures the reliability of the findings. The strengths of this review lie in its comprehensive approach, diverse evidence base, and rigorous methodology, providing valuable insights into HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students.

Despite its strengths, this systematic literature review has several limitations that should be considered. One potential limitation is the presence of publication bias, where studies with significant findings are more likely to be published than those with null or negative results. This bias could affect the representativeness of the included studies and may lead to an overestimation of the effectiveness of HIV/AIDS knowledge interventions or rapid testing perceptions among university students.

The variability in study designs and quality across the included studies poses a challenge to the synthesis and interpretation of findings. Studies may vary in methodologies, sample sizes, measurement tools, and reporting standards, which can impact the comparability and reliability of results. Some studies may also have limitations in terms of sample representativeness, response rates, or potential biases, which could affect the validity of the findings.

The inclusion of only studies published in English may introduce language bias and limit the generalizability of the findings, as relevant studies published in other languages may have been excluded. Furthermore, the review's focus on university students may not fully capture the perspectives of other populations affected by HIV/AIDS, such as marginalized communities or individuals outside of educational settings.

While this review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students, it is important to recognize these limitations when interpreting the findings. Addressing these limitations through sensitivity analyses, careful interpretation of results, and acknowledging potential biases can enhance the reliability and validity of the review findings.

Recommendations for Future Research

There is a clear need for more longitudinal studies to better understand the dynamics of HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students over time. While cross-sectional studies provide valuable snapshots of the current state of knowledge and attitudes, longitudinal studies offer insights into how these factors evolve and change among students over longer periods. Longitudinal studies can track changes in knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors related to HIV/AIDS and rapid testing, allowing researchers to identify trends, predictors of behavior change, and the effectiveness of interventions.

Longitudinal studies enable the exploration of causal relationships between HIV/AIDS knowledge, testing attitudes, and testing behaviors among university students. By following individuals over time, researchers can assess how changes in knowledge and perceptions influence testing uptake and behaviors related to HIV prevention and treatment. This information is crucial for designing targeted interventions and strategies that effectively promote HIV/AIDS awareness and testing among university students.

Longitudinal studies can provide insights into the long-term impact of HIV/AIDS education initiatives and rapid testing programs within university settings. By assessing knowledge retention, behavior change sustainability, and the persistence of stigma reduction efforts, longitudinal studies can inform the development of sustainable and evidence-based interventions that address the evolving needs of university students.

Longitudinal studies offer a valuable opportunity to deepen our understanding of HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students. By capturing changes over time and identifying predictors of behavior change, longitudinal research can inform more effective interventions and contribute to the development of comprehensive HIV prevention and testing strategies within university settings and beyond.

Intervention-based studies play a crucial role in assessing the impact of educational programs on HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students. These studies provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of interventions in promoting HIV/AIDS awareness, reducing stigma, and increasing testing uptake within university settings. By implementing controlled interventions and evaluating outcomes, researchers can determine which educational approaches are most effective in achieving desired outcomes and shaping positive behaviors.

One of the key advantages of intervention-based studies is their ability to provide evidence of causality. By comparing outcomes between intervention and control groups,

researchers can determine whether changes in knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors are directly attributable to the educational intervention. This information is critical for identifying effective strategies for improving HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students.

Intervention-based studies allow for the evaluation of intervention fidelity, dose-response relationships, and sustainability of behavior change over time. Researchers can assess whether interventions are delivered as intended, whether there is a dose-response relationship between intervention intensity and outcomes, and whether behavior changes are maintained beyond the intervention period. This information helps in refining and tailoring interventions for maximum effectiveness and long-term impact.

Intervention-based studies provide practical insights into the implementation and scalability of educational programs within university settings. By identifying barriers and facilitators to intervention success, researchers can develop strategies to overcome implementation challenges and ensure the successful dissemination of effective interventions.

Intervention-based studies are essential for assessing the impact of educational programs on HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students. These studies provide rigorous evidence of effectiveness, inform the development of evidence-based interventions, and contribute to the improvement of HIV/AIDS prevention and testing efforts within university settings and beyond.

Conclusion

HIV/AIDS knowledge and perceptions of rapid testing among university students has revealed several key findings. Firstly, university students generally demonstrate a reasonable level of HIV/AIDS knowledge, recognizing common transmission routes and prevention methods. However, persistent gaps and misconceptions exist, emphasizing the need for continued education and awareness campaigns. Attitudes towards rapid testing are generally positive, with students valuing its convenience and accessibility. However, concerns about stigma, privacy, and accuracy may hinder testing uptake. The review also highlights the importance of addressing these barriers to promote testing acceptance and access. Comparison with findings from other populations or regions underscores the need for tailored interventions that consider cultural and contextual factors. While this review provides valuable insights, the need for more longitudinal and intervention-based studies is evident to assess long-term impacts and effectiveness of educational programs. Overall, this review underscores the importance of comprehensive HIV/AIDS education and testing initiatives within university settings to promote awareness, reduce stigma, and prevent new infections among students.

The importance of improving HIV/AIDS education and promoting rapid testing within university settings cannot be overstated. University campuses serve as crucial hubs of social interaction where students engage in behaviors that may put them at risk of HIV transmission. Therefore, implementing comprehensive HIV/AIDS education programs tailored to the needs of university students is essential in raising awareness, dispelling myths, and promoting healthy behaviors. By providing accurate information about HIV/AIDS transmission, prevention methods, and treatment options, educational initiatives can empower students to make informed decisions about their sexual health and reduce their risk of HIV infection.

Promoting rapid testing within university settings can significantly enhance HIV prevention efforts. Rapid testing methods offer several advantages, including convenience, accessibility, and quick results turnaround, which are particularly appealing to young adults. By normalizing testing, reducing stigma, and increasing access to testing services, universities can encourage regular testing practices among students. Early diagnosis through rapid testing allows for prompt linkage to care and treatment, ultimately improving health outcomes and reducing transmission rates within the university community.

University settings provide an ideal platform for implementing testing initiatives and reaching a large population of young adults. By partnering with campus health services, student organizations, and community agencies, universities can create supportive environments that promote HIV/AIDS awareness, testing, and prevention. Providing on-campus testing facilities, hosting educational events, and integrating HIV/AIDS education into the curriculum can help create a culture of health and well-being among students.

Emphasizing the importance of improving HIV/AIDS education and promoting rapid testing within university settings is crucial in addressing the ongoing challenges of HIV/AIDS prevention and awareness. By investing in comprehensive education initiatives and increasing access to testing services, universities can play a pivotal role in reducing stigma, preventing new infections, and promoting the overall health and well-being of their students.

There is an urgent call to action for policymakers and educators to prioritize HIV/AIDS education and testing initiatives within university settings. Policymakers play a critical role in allocating resources, developing policies, and creating supportive environments that promote HIV/AIDS awareness and testing. By recognizing the importance of addressing HIV/AIDS among young adults, policymakers can advocate for increased funding for educational programs, testing services, and research initiatives aimed at university students. Additionally, policymakers can work to remove barriers to testing access, ensure confidentiality and privacy protections, and promote non-discriminatory policies that support individuals living with HIV/AIDS.

Educators also have a crucial role to play in integrating HIV/AIDS education into the university curriculum and promoting open discussions about sexual health. By incorporating evidence-based HIV/AIDS education into various courses and extracurricular activities, educators can ensure that all students receive accurate information about HIV/AIDS transmission, prevention, and treatment. Educators can also serve as trusted sources of information, providing support and guidance to students seeking testing and resources related to HIV/AIDS.

Collaboration between policymakers, educators, healthcare providers, community organizations, and student groups is essential in creating comprehensive HIV/AIDS education and testing programs within university settings. By working together, stakeholders can leverage their collective expertise and resources to develop effective interventions, promote testing uptake, and reduce the impact of HIV/AIDS within the university community.

Policymakers and educators must recognize the importance of addressing HIV/AIDS among university students and take proactive steps to promote awareness, testing, and prevention efforts. By investing in education, resources, and supportive policies, policymakers and educators can help create environments that prioritize the health and well-being of young adults and contribute to the global efforts to end the HIV/AIDS epidemic.

Acknowledgments

Thank you to LPPM University Putra Abadi Langkat, Lecturers and All who helped support this research activity until it was completed on time.

Authors' Contributions

All authors worked well and played their roles according to their main tasks, starting from collecting literature, resuming, writing, drafting, summarizing to sending to publishers for publication.

References

Ali, S. (2022). *Epidemiological analysis of emerging and re-emerging virus infections in Mozambique* [lmu].

https://doi.org/10.5282/edoc.32492

Assefa, Y., Gilks, C. F., Dean, J., Tekle, B., Lera, M., Balcha, T. T., Getaneh, Y., Van Damme, W., & Hill, P. S. (2019). Towards achieving the fast-track targets and ending the epidemic of HIV/AIDS in Ethiopia: successes and challenges. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *78*(1), 57–64. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2018.10.022

Autenrieth, C. S., Beck, E. J., Stelzle, D., Mallouris, C., Mahy, M., & Ghys, P. (2018). Global and regional trends of people living with HIV aged 50 and over: Estimates and projections for 2000–2020. *PloS One*, *13*(11), e0207005. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207005

Bailey, H., Cruz, M. L. S., Songtaweesin, W. N., & Puthanakit, T. (2017). Adolescents with HIV and transition to adult care in the Caribbean, Central America and South America, Eastern Europe and Asia and Pacific regions. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, *20*(16), 21475. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.20.4.21475

Bekker, L.-G., Alleyne, G., Baral, S., Cepeda, J., Daskalakis, D., Dowdy, D., Dybul, M., Eholie, S., Esom, K., & Garnett, G. (2018). Advancing global health and strengthening the HIV response in the era of the Sustainable Development Goals: the International AIDS Society—Lancet Commission. *The Lancet*, *392*(10144), 312–358. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31070-5

Bell, C., & Lewis, M. (2005). Economic implications of epidemics old and new. In *Available at SSRN 997387* (pp. 1–41). University of Heidelberg. https://doi.org/Bell, Clive and Lewis, Maureen, Economic Implications of Epidemics Old and New (February 2005). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=997387 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.997387

Cao, W., Hsieh, E., & Li, T. (2020). Optimizing treatment for adults with HIV/AIDS in China: successes over two decades and remaining challenges. *Current HIV/AIDS Reports*, *17*(4), 26–34. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11904-019-00478-x

Casper, C., Crane, H., Menon, M., & Money, D. (2017). HIV/AIDS comorbidities: impact on cancer, noncommunicable diseases, and reproductive health. *Major Infectious Diseases. 3rd Edition*, *45*(4), 97–102. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0524-0_ch3

Curran, J. W., & Jaffe, H. W. (2011). AIDS: the early years and CDC's response. *MMWR Surveill Summ*, *60*(suppl 4), 64–69. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/su6004a11.htm?s_cid%3Dsu6004a1

Govender, R. D., Hashim, M. J., Khan, M. A. B., Mustafa, H., & Khan, G. (2021). Global epidemiology of HIV/AIDS: a resurgence in North America and Europe. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health*, *11*(3), 296–301. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2991/jegh.k.210621.001

Gronholm, P. C., Nosé, M., Van Brakel, W. H., Eaton, J., Ebenso, B., Fiekert, K., Milenova, M., Sunkel, C., Barbui, C., & Thornicroft, G. (2021). Reducing stigma and discrimination associated with COVID-19: early stage pandemic rapid review and practical recommendations. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, *30*(4), e15. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796021000056

Heffernan, A., Cooke, G. S., Nayagam, S., Thursz, M., & Hallett, T. B. (2019). Scaling up prevention and treatment towards the elimination of hepatitis C: a global mathematical model. *The Lancet*, *393*(10178), 1319–1329. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32277-3

Lindenbaum, S. (2018). Images of catastrophe: The making of an epidemic. In *The political economy of AIDS* (pp. 33–58). Routledge. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315223513

Miranda, M. N. D. S. (2022). *Dynamics of HIV-1 transmission in Europe: a guidance for evidence-based prevention strategies*. Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical.

Mutaka, M. A. O. (2022). *DETERMINANTS OF VIROLOGICAL FAILURE IN HIV PATIENTS ON HIGHLY ACTIVE ANTIRETROVIRAL THERAPY (HAART) IN THE UPPER EAST REGION OF GHANA, 2022*. http://www.udsspace.uds.edu.gh/handle/123456789/4066

Ndashimye, E., & Arts, E. J. (2019). The urgent need for more potent antiretroviral therapy in low-income countries to achieve UNAIDS 90-90-90 and complete eradication of AIDS by 2030. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*, *8*(04), 67–74. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-019-0573-1

Obeagu, E. I., Igwe, M. C., & Obeagu, G. U. (2024). The Power of Unity: Collective Efforts in Confronting HIV Stigma. *Elite Journal of Public Health*, *2*(3), 22–36.

Piot, P., Bartos, M., Ghys, P. D., Walker, N., & Schwartländer, B. (2001). The global impact of HIV/AIDS. *Nature*, *410*(6831), 968–973. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/35073639

Seyler, L., Lacor, P., & Allard, S. D. (2018). Current challenges in the treatment of HIV. *Pol Arch Intern Med*, *128*(10), 609–616. https://doi.org/10.20452/pamw.4357

Stangl, A. L., Earnshaw, V. A., Logie, C. H., Van Brakel, W., C. Simbayi, L., Barré, I., & Dovidio, J. F. (2019). The Health Stigma and Discrimination Framework: a global, crosscutting framework to inform research, intervention development, and policy on health-related stigmas. *BMC Medicine*, *17*(5), 1–13. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1271-3